

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,495	10/31/2000	Allen Louis Gorin	112233-CONT 2	8836	
²⁶⁶⁵² AT&T CORP	7590 02/21/200		EXAMINER		
ROOM 2A207 ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			PHAN, JOSEPH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
222	,	2614			
				DEL HIERVINODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/699,495	GORIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Joseph T. Phan	2614	

	ooseph 1.1 han	2014	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>1/10/07</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	in the final rejection, whi g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. Ir on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contained they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,9-13,15-30,34-40,42-54,56 and 5</u>	<u>7</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t hafara ar an the data of filing a N	ation of Annual will ma	4 h.a. a.u.4
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as currently recited still read on the prior art of record, Beyda.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., verbal input and non-verbal input is equated with one of a plurality of meaningful phrases) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

As one example, Beyda performs verbal input in col.8 lines 29-37 AND performs non-verbal input in col.10 lines 1-5. As shown in Fig. 3, Beyda has several layers and prompts at which the user can perform verbal AND non-verbal input and therefore Beyda reads on the claim as currently recited. It is noted, however, that from these citations, it doesn't appear Beyda performs what applicant argues(i.e. user simultaneously inputs verbal and non-verbal input that corresponds to one of plurality of meaningful phrases which is recognized by the system).

FAN TSANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2502